

Open Research Online

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

A multi-spacecraft reanalysis of the atmosphere of Mars

Conference or Workshop Item

How to cite:

Lewis, S. R.; Holmes, J. A. and Patel, M. R. (2018). A multi-spacecraft reanalysis of the atmosphere of Mars. In: From Mars Express to ExoMars, 27-28 Feb 2018, Madrid.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© The Authors 2018



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Version: Version of Record

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:

https://www.cosmos.esa.int/documents/1499429/1583871/Lewis_S.pdf

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data [policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

oro.open.ac.uk

A multi-spacecraft reanalysis of the atmosphere of Mars

S. R. Lewis¹, J. A. Holmes¹ and M. R. Patel^{1,2}

¹School of Physical Sciences, Open University, UK, ²Space Science and Technology Department, STFC, RAL, UK

Introduction: We have conducted a nine-Mars Year (MY) consistent reanalysis of the martian atmosphere covering the period MY 24–32 and making use of data from three different spacecraft. Remotely-sensed measurements of temperature, dust opacity, water ice and ozone from NASA’s Mars Global Surveyor (MGS) and Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter (MRO) and ESA’s Mars Express (MEx) were assimilated [1] into a single model simulation, sampled two-hourly over the whole period. This forms a large, regular re-analysis dataset that is being made publicly available as an output of the EU UPWARDS project. The same analysis technique, with an improved model and higher resolution will be conducted with ESA Trace Gas Orbiter (TGO) data as it becomes available, further extending the martian climatology. This data set is similar in approach to the earlier MACDA reanalysis [2], but MACDA only made use of Thermal Emission Spectrometer (TES) thermal and total column dust opacity data from MGS, had a lower vertical resolution and only covered the first third of the period now analysed (just under three MY). In addition, the model used here includes a full water cycle and a photochemical scheme, with trace gas fields advected by the model winds. The ozone assimilation and procedure being developed to better handle dust are described in more detail in companion abstracts [3, 4]. We illustrate the potential of this new dataset by comparing the solsticial pause [5, 6] in each year.

Mars global circulation model and analysis correction scheme: The assimilation technique makes use of the UK version of the Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique (LMD) Mars global circulation model (MGCM). This 4D-model differs mainly from the standard LMD MGCM [7] in using a spectral dynamical core and a semi-Lagrangian advection scheme. MGCM physical submodels have been developed in a collaboration between the LMD, the Open University, the University of Oxford and the Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía. The model was run at T31 resolution in the horizontal, corresponding to a resolution of 5° latitude by 5° longitude, with 32 vertical levels in the range 0–105 km.

Assimilation is performed using a form of the Analysis Correction Scheme [8] adapted for martian conditions and the type of remotely-sensed data that is primarily used to constrain the MGCM [1].

Observations: This reanalysis uses TES thermal and total opacity data, as did MACDA [2], but adds the water ice opacity and vapour retrievals where available. It also uses ozone retrievals from Spectroscopy for Investigation of Characteristics of the Atmosphere of Mars (SPICAM) aboard MEx [described in more detail in 9] and thermal, dust and water ice opacity from Mars Climate Sounder (MCS) aboard MRO.

Reanalysis output: The full dataset is illustrated in the form of the zonally-averaged total dust optical depth throughout the nine-year period of the re-analysis in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Longitudinally-averaged total dust optical depth from the reanalysis plotted for MY24–32 from top left to bottom right. The periods for which TES, SPICAM and MCS data were assimilated is shown by coloured arrows above the figures. A grey, dotted arrow indicates periods when no data was available to assimilate and the MGCM was effectively forecasting without coincident observational constraints.

Interannual variability and the solsticial pause: One of the most striking features of Fig. 1 above is the year-to-year variation in the martian dust loading, in particular in Northern Hemisphere Winter (the second half of the martian year shown in the panels above).

Figure 2 shows the amplitude of the martian planetary wave activity versus latitude over the TES assimilation period of this figure and shows evidence of the martian solsticial pause, a clear reduction in the strength of the planetary waves centred on winter solstice (NH solstice is at $L_S = 270^\circ$, SH solstice is at $L_S = 90^\circ$) in each hemisphere and identified in the MACDA reanalysis by [3]. We will discuss the extent to which the pattern shown in Fig. 2 is reproduced through the new analysis and other evidence of interannual variability on Mars.




Figure 2: RMS temperature variability at 2.5 km altitude from the TES-period assimilation (mid-MY 24 to early MY 27), band-pass filtered to periods of 1.5–30 sols and with a 20-sol running mean applied. Hatching indicates no TES data. Figure adapted from [5].

References:

- [1] Lewis, S. R. et al., *Icarus* 192, 327-347, 2007.
- [2] Montabone, L. et al., *Geoscience Data Journal* 1, 129-139, 2014.
- [3] Holmes, J. A. et al., *this meeting*, 2018.
- [4] Streeter, P. M. et al., *this meeting*, 2018.
- [5] Lewis, S. R. et al., *Icarus* 264, 456-464, 2016.
- [6] Mulholland, D. P. et al., *Icarus* 264, 465-477, 2016.
- [7] Forget, F. et al., *JGR* 104, 24155-24175, 1999.
- [8] Lorenc, A. C. et al., *QJRM* 117, 59-89, 1991.
- [9] Holmes, J. A. et al., *Icarus* 302, 308-318, 2018.

Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge support as part of the project UPWARDS-633127, funded by the European Union Horizon 2020 Programme. They also acknowledge the support of the UK Space Agency/STFC under grants ST/R001405/1 and ST/P001262/1.

The authors are particularly grateful for ongoing collaborations with Dan McCleese, David Kass and the MCS team (JPL), with Michael Smith (NASA/Goddard), with Peter Read (Oxford), with F. Lefèvre (LATMOS/CNRS, Paris), and with Francois Forget, Aymeric Spiga and colleagues (LMD/CNRS Paris).